Foundations of Machine Learning Convex Optimization

Convex Optimization

Convexity

Definition: $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is said to be convex if for any two points $x, y \in X$ the segment [x, y] lies in X:

 $\{\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, 0 \le \alpha \le 1\} \subseteq X.$

Definition: let X be a convex set. A function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y).$$

With a strict inequality, f is said to be strictly convex. f is said to be concave when -f is convex.

Properties of Convex Functions

Theorem: let f be a differentiable function. Then, f is convex iff dom(f) is convex and

 $\forall x, y \in \operatorname{dom}(f), \ f(y) - f(x) \ge \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x).$



Theorem: let f be a twice differentiable function. Then, f is convex iff its Hessian is positive semidefinite:

$$\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(f), \ \nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0.$$

Constrained Optimization Problem

Problem: Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f, g_i : X \to \mathbb{R}$, $i \in [1, m]$. A constrained optimization problem has the form:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in X} f(\mathbf{x})$$

subject to: $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, i \in [1, m].$

 \mathbf{n}

Definition: The Lagrange function or Lagrangian associated to this problem is the function defined by:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in X, \forall \boldsymbol{\alpha} \geq 0, L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i g_i(x).$$

 $\alpha_i \mathbf{s} \text{ are called Lagrange or dual variables.}$

Sufficient Condition

(Lagrange, 1797)

- Theorem: Let P be a constrained optimization problem over $X = \mathbb{R}^N$. If $(\mathbf{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*)$ is a saddle point, that is $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall \boldsymbol{\alpha} \ge 0, \ L(\mathbf{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \le L(\mathbf{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*) \le L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^*),$ then it is a solution of P.
- Proof: By the first inequality,
 ∀α ≥ 0, L(x*, α) ≤ L(x*, α*) ⇒ ∀α ≥ 0, α ⋅ g(x*) ≤ α* ⋅ g(x*) (use α → +∞ then α → 0) ⇒ g(x*) ≤ 0 ∧ α* ⋅ g(x*) = 0.
 In view of that, the second inequality gives
 ∀x, L(x*, α*) ≤ L(x, α*) ⇒ ∀x, f(x*) ≤ f(x) + α* ⋅ g(x).
 Thus, for all x such that g(x) ≤ 0, f(x*) ≤ f(x).

Constraint Qualification

• Definition: Assume that $int X \neq \emptyset$. Then, the following is the strong constraint qualification or Slater's condition:

 $\exists \, \overline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbf{int} X: \, g(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) < 0.$

Definition: Assume that $int X \neq \emptyset$. Then, the following is the weak constraint qualification or Slater's condition:

 $\exists \, \overline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbf{int} X: \, \forall i \in [1, m], \, (g_i(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) < 0) \lor (g_i(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) = 0 \land g_i \text{ affine}).$

Necessary Conditions

- Theorem: Assume that f and g_i , $i \in [1, m]$, are convex functions and that Slater's condition holds. If x is a solution of the constrained optimization problem, then there exists $\alpha \ge 0$ such that (x, α) is a saddle point of the Lagrangian.
- Theorem: Assume that f and g_i , $i \in [1, m]$, are convex differentiable functions and that the weak Slater's condition holds. If x is a solution of the constrained optimization problem, then there exists $\alpha \ge 0$ such that (x, α) is a saddle point of the Lagrangian.

Kuhn-Tucker's Theorem

(Karush 1939; Kuhn-Tucker, 1951)

Theorem: Assume that $f, g_i: X \to \mathbb{R}$, $i \in [1, m]$ are convex and differentiable and that the constraints are qualified. Then $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ is a solution of the constrained program iff there exist $\overline{\alpha} \ge 0$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{l} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} L(\overline{\mathbf{x}},\overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) + \overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) = 0 \\ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} L(\overline{\mathbf{x}},\overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = g(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \leq 0 \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cdot g(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{i} g_{i}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) = 0 \,. \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{KKT} \\ \mathsf{conditions} \\ \mathsf{Conditions} \\ \mathsf{KKT} \\ \mathsf{conditions} \\ \mathsf{Conditions} \\ \mathsf{Conditions} \\ \mathsf{Conditions} \\ \mathsf{Conditions} \\ \mathsf{Conditions} \\ \mathsf{KKT} \\ \mathsf{Conditions} \\ \mathsf{Con$$

complementary conditions

- Since the constraints are qualified, if x̄ is solution, then there exists ᾱ such that (x̄, ᾱ) is a saddle point. In that case, the three conditions are verified (for the 3rd condition see proof of sufficient condition slide).
- Conversely, assume that the conditions are verified. Then, for any x such that $g(\mathbf{x}) < 0$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \ge \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \qquad \text{(convexity of } f)$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\alpha}_{i} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_{i}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \qquad \text{(first condition)}$$
$$\ge -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\alpha}_{i} [g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) - g_{i}(\overline{\mathbf{x}})] \qquad \text{(convexity of } g_{i}s)$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\alpha}_{i} g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0, \qquad \text{(third condition)}$$

Primal and Dual Problems

Primal problem:

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in X} f(\mathbf{x})$
subject to: $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0.$

Dual problem:

 $\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in X} L(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ subject to: $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \ge 0$.

Equivalent problems when constraints qualified.